



Cassels

**Back to Work & Back
to School:
Vaccination Policies
& Accommodation of
Parental Status**

**NAVIGATING THE NEW,
“NEW NORMAL”**

September 20, 2021

Adrian Jakibchuk
Laurie Jessome

Accreditation

- HRPA
 - This program has been approved for 0.5 CPD hours under Section A of the Continuing Professional Development Log of the Human Resource Professionals Association
- Continuing Legal Education
 - ON: This program contains 30 minutes of Substantive content
 - BC: Submitted to the Law Society of British Columbia for 0.5 CPD Hours. Status: pending
 - AB: Please consider including this course as a learning activity in your mandatory annual CPD Plan



Disclaimer

- This webinar is provided for general information purposes only
- Cassels is not providing legal or other advice to any webinar participants
- Webinar participants should obtain independent legal and other advice from their legal and/or accounting advisers, as applicable

Cassels



Cassels

**Vaccine
Policies at
Work**



Vaccine Policies: Do You Need One?

- Is it legally required for my workplace?
- If not, consider the nature of the workplace
 - Fully remote
 - Primarily remote with limited in-person contact
 - Close contact with coworkers
 - Close contact with members of the public
 - Contact with vulnerable populations



Components of Vaccine Policies

- Disclosure of status
- Vaccination requirement to attend in-person events or worksites with unlimited exemptions
- Vaccination requirement for certain roles only
- Vaccination requirement for all employees



Employee Objections

- Request for medical exemptions
- Request for religious exemptions
- Request for exemption on the basis of political beliefs
- Constructive dismissal



Employer Responses

- Health and safety justifications
- Accommodation to the point of undue hardship
- Other exemptions
- Disciplinary action
- Dismissal



Key Takeaways

- Stay informed
- Keep the focus on health and safety
- Avoid the “noise”



Cassels

**Accommodation
of Parental
Status in the
COVID-era**



What's Changed and What to Expect with the 2021/22 School Experience

- Remote learning vs. in-person learning
- School closures
- Obligations to self-quarantine or self-isolate
- More limited availability of reasonable alternative child care options

All of the above = More requests for childcare accommodations!



Legislated COVID-19 Protected Leaves

- In Ontario, Infectious Disease Emergency Leave (IDEL) provides job protected leave for employees for reasons which include providing care to a child for reasons related to COVID-19
- According to Ontario Ministry of Labour, can include caring for a child:
 - Because of a school or daycare closure due to COVID-19
 - Because the employee did not send their child to school or child care out of fear of exposure to COVID-19
 - Because the child was sick with COVID-19 or is following COVID-19 protocols in place at the school or child care
- Majority of provinces have likewise implemented measures in their employment standards legislation to provide similar job protections



Legislated COVID-19 Protected Leaves

- IDEL:
 - ESA does not specify a limit on days of unpaid IDEL that can be taken
 - Employees may be eligible for up to 3 days of paid IDEL where:
 - The employee is providing care or support to a child (or other designated individual) because:
 - The child is under medical investigation, supervision or treatment related to COVID-19
 - The child is in quarantine or isolation or under a control measure as a result of a COVID-19 direction issued by a public health official
- Other job-protected leaves in Ontario:
 - Family Responsibility Leave
 - Family Medical Leave



Family Status and Human Rights Legislation

- “Family Status” is a protected ground under all human rights legislation across Canada, including the Ontario *Human Rights Code*
- What does “Family Status” mean?
- Where engaged, what obligations does an employer have?
 - As with other protected grounds, where prima facie discrimination based on “family status” exists, obligation is to accommodate to the point of undue hardship



Test for Family Status Discrimination

- Not entirely settled
- Variations based on jurisdiction within Canada
- Federal:
 - “Johnstone” Test – *Canada (Attorney General) v. Johnstone* (2014)
 - 4-part test:
 - Establish:
 1. Child was under employee’s care and supervision.
 2. Childcare obligation engaged was a legal responsibility rather than a personal choice
 3. Employee first made reasonable efforts to self-accommodate
 4. The workplace rule in question interfered with the employee’s fulfillment of their childcare obligations in a way that was more than a trivial or insubstantial.



Test for Family Status Discrimination

- Ontario:
- *Misetich v. Value Village Inc.* (2016):
 - Employee must show a negative impact based on a family need that results in a real disadvantage to the parent-child relationship and/or to the employee's work
 - Assessing the impact of an impugned workplace rule must be done contextually and may include consideration of other supports available to the employee
 - If an employee can prove discrimination, the onus then shifts to the employer to establish that the employee cannot be accommodated without undue hardship.



What Kind of Accommodation May Be Requested?

- Leaves of absence
 - Long-term or short-term
- Work-from-home arrangements
- Altered work hours (or work days)
- Requests for flexible work weeks

- But keep in mind...
 - While employees are entitled to “reasonable accommodation” they are not entitled to perfect accommodation or their preferred accommodation



Questions to Consider

- What is the nature of the care-giving obligation that is being impacted or interfered with?
- Does the request for accommodation relate to an essential parental obligation or is it simply a matter of preference or convenience?
- What kind of accommodation is the employee requesting?
- For how long is the accommodation being requested?
- Are there alternate ways of accommodating the employee's request?
- Are other supports/options available to the employee to provide for alternate child care?



How Should Employers Respond?

- Do not be dismissive of accommodation requests
- Be wary of applying a “cookie cutter”/ “one size fits all” approach
 - Different employees will have different needs and different options available to them
- Understand that, with the COVID-19 pandemic, we are in a “new world” where what is reasonably expected of parents may well be looked at through a different lens (*Hydro Ottawa v. IBEW, Local 636 (2020-06) (2021)*)



How Should Employers Respond?

But, at the same time, know that:

- The accommodation process is a multi-party inquiry
 - Employees must be ready to participate in the accommodation process, including by providing information regarding restrictions
 - Do not be afraid to ask questions
- There is a distinction between an employee's preference and an employee's need
- Be prepared for the unexpected. Contingency planning is key!



Cassels

Back to Work & Back to School: Vaccination Policies & Accommodation of Parental Status

SEPTEMBER 20, 2021

Suite 2100, Scotia Plaza
40 King Street West
TORONTO, ON
M5H 3C2 Canada

t: 416 869 5300
f: 416 350 8877

Suite 2200, HSBC Building
885 West Georgia Street
VANCOUVER, BC
V6C 3E8 Canada

t: 604 691 6100
f: 604 691 6120

Suite 3810, Bankers Hall West
888 3rd Street SW
CALGARY, AB
T2P 5C5 Canada

t: 403 351 2920
f: 403 648 1151

© 2020 Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP. All rights reserved.

This document and the information in it is for illustration only and does not constitute legal advice. The information is subject to changes in the law and the interpretation thereof.
This document is not a substitute for legal or other professional advice. Users should consult legal counsel for advice regarding the matters discussed herein.